

Palladium-Catalyzed Negishi Cross-Coupling Reaction of Aryl Halides with (Difluoromethyl)zinc Reagent

Kohsuke Aikawa, Hiroki Serizawa, Koki Ishii, and Koichi Mikami*

Department of Chemical Science and Engineering, School of Materials and Chemical Technology, Tokyo Institute of Technology, O-okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8552, Japan

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The palladium-catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling reaction of aryl iodides and bromides with (difluoromethyl)zinc reagent bearing a diamine such as TMEDA is achieved to provide the difluoromethylated aromatic compounds in good to excellent yields. The advantages of (difluoromethyl)zinc reagent are that (1) the derivatives, which possess different stability and reactivity,


can be readily prepared via ligand screening and (2) transmetalation of a difluoromethyl group from the zinc reagent to palladium catalyst efficiently proceeds without an activator.

 \mathbf{R} ecently, interest in aromatic compounds bearing a difluoromethyl ($-\mathsf{CF}_2\mathsf{H}$) group, which can be regarded as a bioisostere of alcohols and thiols, has grown in the pharmaceutical and agrochemical industries. As part of a direct and regiospecific synthetic method for difluoromethylated aromatic compounds, Hartwig and Prakash independently disclosed the copper-mediated difluoromethylations of aryl iodides with TMSCF2H and nBu3SnCF2H as difluoromethyl sources.^{2,3} However, to the best our knowledge, the catalytic difluoromethylation of aryl halides with difluoromethyl reagents is still conspicuously limited, in sharp contrast to the corresponding synthetic methods for the CF₃⁴ and CF₂R⁵ groups. In 2014, the first catalytic difluoromethylation of aryl halides with TMSCF₂H was demonstrated by Shen using the cooperative dual palladium/silver catalyst system. Moreover, Vicic succeeded in the nickel-catalyzed difluoromethylation of aryl halides by employment of (DMPU)₂Zn(CF₂H)₂.8 In the preceding paper, we reported the copper-catalyzed difluoromethylation of aryl iodides with (DMPU)₂Zn(CF₂H)₂ as an organozinc reagent. The reaction proceeded efficiently through the ligand/activator-free operation, providing the difluoromethylated aromatic compounds in moderate to high yields. However, the fundamental drawback of the reaction is that only aryl iodides bearing electron-withdrawing substituents can be utilized, and thus, aryl iodides possessing electrondonating substituents are not applicable. Herein, we report the palladium-catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling reaction with a (difluoromethyl)zinc reagent that occurs with aryl halides bearing not only electron-withdrawing substituents but also electron-donating substituents.¹⁰

We have previously provided synthetic protocols involving (trifluoromethyl)-, ^{11,12} (perfluoroalkyl)-, ^{11,12d,13} and (difluoromethyl)zinc^{8,9,14} reagents. The advantage of these zinc reagents is that derivatives possessing different stability and reactivity depending on the ligands can be readily prepared through the screening. Therefore, we sought to investigate (difluoromethyl) zinc reagents bearing not only monodentate ligands such as

DMF and DMPU but also diamine ligands (Scheme 1). We found that the preparation of $(DMF)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$ (1b) and

Scheme 1. Preparation of (Difluoromethyl)zinc Reagents^a



^aYield and ratio of a/b were determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.

(DMF) $_2$ Zn(CF $_2$ H)I (1a) (82:18) according to the previous procedure followed by the ligand exchange between DMF and diamines, such as TMEDA and diamine 5, could produce the corresponding zinc reagents, LZn(CF $_2$ H) $_2$ (3b and 4b) in high yields as major products, respectively. The structure of 4b was defined by X-ray crystallography as having tetrahedral geometry at zinc (Figure 1). With regard to the stability of zinc reagents in DMF solution, we found that diamine ligands can thermally stabilize the zinc reagents. In fact, although (TMEDA)Zn(CF $_2$ H) $_2$ almost decomposed at 60 °C after 24 h, the decomposition rate was slower than that of counterparts bearing monodentate ligands. Moreover, LZn(CF $_2$ H) $_2$ (L = 5) was more stable at 60 °C, and 51% of the zinc reagent remained even after 24 h. ¹⁵

Next, transmetalation of the CF_2H group to palladium from zinc was examined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy (Table 1). The addition of $(DMPU)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$ to (DPPF)Pd(Ph)I (6) proceeded smoothly at room temperature to afford (DPPF)-

Received: June 15, 2016 Published: July 21, 2016



Organic Letters Letter

Figure 1. X-ray structure of **4b**. Selected hydrogen atoms are shown. Angles: C1–Zn–C2, 132.41°; N1–Zn–N2, 83.09°. Bond lengths: C1–Zn, 2.028 Å; C2–Zn, 2.031 Å; N1–Zn, 2.140 Å; N2–Zn, 2.133 Å.

Table 1. Transmetalation of CF2H Group

entry	Zn reagent ^a	reaction conditions	yield ^b (%) of [7/8/9]
1	$(DMF)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$	1,4-dioxane, rt, 1 h	49/0/11
2	$(DMPU)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$	1,4-dioxane, rt, 1 h	94/0/1
3	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	1,4-dioxane, 50 °C, 1 h	$\frac{22/8/0}{(0/91/0)^c}$
4	(5) Zn $(CF_2H)_2$	1,4-dioxane, rt, 1 h	93/0/3
5	$(DMF)_2Zn(CF_2H)l$	1,4-dioxane, rt to 100 °C, 12 h	$0/0/0^d$

^aLZn(CF₂H)₂ and (DMF)₂Zn(CF₂H)I prepared according to the methods shown in Scheme and our previous report (ref 9) were employed, respectively. ^bYield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. ^cReaction time was 24 h. ^d(DPPF)Pd(CF₂H)I was observed in 20–40% yields.

Pd(Ph) (CF₂H) (7) in 94% yield. The use of (DMF)₂Zn-(CF₂H)₂, in contrast, resulted in the formation of 7 and (DPPF)Pd(CF₂H)₂ (9) in 49% and 11% yields, respectively (Table 1, entries 1 vs 2). Complex 9 was found to be catalytically inactive. Transmetalation of 6 using (TMEDA)-Zn(CF₂H)₂ required higher temperature (Table 1, entry 3) and provided (difluoromethyl)benzene (8) in addition to 7 (entry 3). Indeed, Shen has already reported that complex 7 can undergo reductive elimination at 47 °C, providing 8 in high yield. In contrast, $LZn(CF_2H)_2$ (L = 5) bearing diamine efficiently underwent transmetalation even at room temperature (entry 4). To our surprise, (DMF)₂Zn(CF₂H)I did not cause the desirable transmetalation even at 100 °C, and (DPPF)Pd(CF₂H)I was observed in 20-40% yields at the same time as decomposition of the zinc reagent in the ¹⁹F NMR spectrum (entry 5).

With preparation of (difluoromethyl)zinc reagents and observation of the transmetalation, our research was focused on the catalytic difluoromethylation of aryl halides (Table 2). We tested various zinc reagents, phosphine ligands, solvents, and reaction temperature. Initially, the reactions were conducted with 4-iodobenzonitrile (10a) and (DMF)₂Zn-(CF₂H)I or (DMF)₂Zn(CF₂H)₂ in the presence of Pd(dba)₂/2DPPF in 1,4-dioxane at 80 °C to provide the desired product (11a), but yields were low (entries 1 and 2). Although (DMPU)₂Zn(CF₂H)₂ gave moderate yield under the same conditions (entry 3), we were delighted to find that use of

Table 2. Catalytic Difluoromethylation of Aryl Iodide^a

entry	Zn reagent ^b	P-ligand	reaction conditions	yield ^c (%)
1	$(DMF)_2Zn(CF_2H)l$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h	5
2	$(DMF)_2 Zn (CF_2 H)_2$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h	35
3	$(DMPU)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h	57
4	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h	73
5	(5) Zn $(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h	58
6	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	toluene, 80 °C, 12 h	71
7	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	DMF, 80 °C, 12 h	65
8	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	THF, 80 °C, 12 h	67
9	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 100 °C, 6 h	76
10	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPF	1,4-dioxane, 120 °C, 6 h	81 (74)
11	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPE	1,4-dioxane, 120°C, 6 h	11
12	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	DPPP	1,4-dioxane, 120°C, 6 h	17
13	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	BINAP	1,4-dioxane, 120°C, 6 h	51
14	$(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$	$P(1-Ad)_2 nBu$	1,4-dioxane, 120°C, 6 h	15
	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	1 (DMF) ₂ Zn(CF ₂ H)l 2 (DMF) ₂ Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 3 (DMPU) ₂ Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 4 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 5 (5)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 6 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 7 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 8 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 9 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 10 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 11 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 12 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ 13 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂	1 $(DMF)_2Zn(CF_2H)l$ $DPPF$ 2 $(DMF)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 3 $(DMPU)_2Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 4 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 5 $(5)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 6 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 7 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 8 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 9 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 10 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 11 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPF$ 12 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPE$ 13 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$ 14 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$ 15 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$ 16 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$ 17 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$ 18 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$ 19 $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ $DPPP$	entry Zn reagent b P-ligand conditions 1 (DMF) ₂ Zn(CF ₂ H)l DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h 2 (DMF) ₂ Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h 3 (DMPU) ₂ Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h 4 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h 5 (\$)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 80 °C, 12 h 6 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF toluene, 80 °C, 12 h 7 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF DMF, 80 °C, 12 h 8 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF DMF, 80 °C, 12 h 9 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF THF, 80 °C, 12 h 9 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 100 °C, 6 h 10 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPF 1,4-dioxane, 120 °C, 6 h 11 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPP 1,4-dioxane, 120 °C, 6 h 12 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ DPPP 1,4-dioxane, 120 °C, 6 h 13 (TMEDA)Zn(CF ₂ H) ₂ BINAP 1,4-dioxane, 120 °C, 6 h

^aConditions: **10a** (0.1 mmol), zinc reagent (~0.5 M 1,4-dioxane solution: 0.2 mmol), Pd(dba)₂ (5 mol %), and P-ligand (10 mol %). ^bLZn(CF₂H)₂ and (DMF)₂Zn(CF₂H)I prepared according to the methods shown in Scheme 1 and our previous report (ref 9) were employed, respectively. ^cYield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. ^dReaction time was 3 h.

(TMEDA)Zn(CF₂H)₂ could lead to **11a** in 73% yield (entry 4). However, LZn(CF₂H)₂ (L = **5**) decreased the yield (entry 5). Other solvents, such as toluene, DMF, and THF, also initiated the reaction but did not enhance the yields (entries 6–8). Higher temperature (120 °C) led to higher yield (entry 10), while the reaction proceeded smoothly even at 80 °C (entry 4). Bidentate ligands, such as DPPE, DPPP, and BINAP, led to a significant drop in yields (entries 10 vs 11–13). No improvement of yields was observed even by bulky monodentate ligand (entry 14).

The nature of the phosphine ligands had a large impact on the yields of the reactions. For example, the stoichiometric examination of $Pd(dba)_2/2DPPF$ and $\mathbf{10a}$ in the presence of $(TMEDA)Zn(CF_2H)_2$ could provide the difluoromethylated product $(\mathbf{11a})$ in 97% yield, along with a slight amount of $(DPPF)Pd(CF_2H)_2$ (9) (Scheme 2, eq 1). In sharp contrast, employment of bulky monodentate ligand $P(1\text{-}Ad)nBu_2$ also furnished $\mathbf{11a}$ in 42% yield. In this case, however, $(TMEDA)-Pd(CF_2H)_2$ (12) was provided as a major product (67% yield) in a ligand-exchange reaction and was found to be inactive in the catalytic cycle (Scheme 2, eq 2). These results indicate that relatively electron-donating bidentate ligands, which can suppress the production of the inert complex $\mathbf{12}$, are suitable for the present catalytic system.

Letter **Organic Letters**

Scheme 2. Stoichiometric Examination^a

10a

50 °C, 6 h

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{Ar-I} & \begin{array}{c} \text{1) Pd(dba)}_2 \text{ (1 equiv), DPPF (2 equiv)} \\ \text{10a} & \begin{array}{c} \text{1,4-dioxane, rt, 1 h} \\ \text{2) (TMEDA)Zn(CF}_2\text{H})_2 \text{ (1.2 equiv)} \\ \text{80 °C, 24 h} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Ar-CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{11a: 97\%} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Ph}_2 \\ \text{Fe} \\ \text{Ph}_2 \\ \text{9: 8\%} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{9: 8\%} \end{array} \\ \text{Ar-I} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Ar-CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{11a: 97\%} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Ph}_2 \\ \text{Ph}_2 \\ \text{9: 8\%} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{9: 8\%} \end{array} \\ \text{Ar-CF}_2\text{H} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Ar-CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{Ar-CF}_2\text{H} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{Ar-CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{OCF}_2\text{H} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \text{CF}_2\text{H} \\ \text{OCF}_2\text{H} \end{array} \end{array}$$

^aYield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. (TMEDA)Zn-(CF₂H)₂ prepared according to the method shown in Scheme 1 was employed.

11a: 42%

12:67%

Scheme 3 summarizes the scope of the aromatic difluoromethylation with various aryl and heteroaryl iodides and bromides under the reaction conditions optimized in Table 2. In general, aryl iodides possessing electron-withdrawing substituents in the para-position of the ring, such as nitro,

Scheme 3. Substrate Scope and Functional-Group Tolerance^a

^aConditions: 10 (0.3 mmol), (TMEDA)Zn(CF₂H)₂ (~0.5 M 1,4dioxane solution: 0.6 mmol), Pd(dba)₂ (5 mol %), and DPPF (10 mol %) in 1,4-dioxane (2 mL). Yield was determined by ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. (TMEDA)Zn(CF₂H)₂ prepared according to the method shown in Scheme 1 was employed. ^bReaction was carried out at 80 °C for 12 h. ^cPd(dba)₂ (2 mol %) and DPPF (4 mol %) were used. dXPhos instead of DPPF was used.

ester, and chloride, were found to undergo the difluoromethylation in good to high yields (11b-d). Significantly, substrates bearing electron-donating substituents and a phenyl group also reacted smoothly to give the desired products 11e-g in high yields. In addition, the reaction employing meta- and orthosubstituted aryl iodides could be converted to the difluoromethylated arenes (11h,i), but ortho-disubstituted arene and isoquinoline led to diminished reactivity (11j,k). Purine riboside was compatible with the present catalytic reaction (111). The introduction of the CF₂H group was also found to be applicable to corannulene backbone (11m). Aryl bromides with electron-withdrawing substituents in the para-position underwent the reaction to afford the products 11b,c in good yields. Furthermore, by using XPhos instead of DPPF, the reaction of aryl bromides bearing electron-donating and withdrawing substituents in the para- and meta-positions resulted in the formation of the products 11e,n in high yields, respectively. Vinylic substrate was also compatible with the present reaction (110). The reaction of aryl chloride with an electron-withdrawing substituent occurred to give the corresponding product (11b), albeit in 39% yield.

In summary, an efficient and practical palladium-catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling reaction of aryl iodides and bromides was developed with (TMEDA)Zn(CF₂H)₂ as an organozinc reagent. Transmetalation of the CF₂H group from zinc reagents to palladium catalyst proceeded efficiently without an activator, in contrast to the counterparts employing TMSCF2H and nBu₃SnCF₂H as difluoromethyl sources.^{2,3,7} This difluoromethylation protocol was carried out with aryl iodides and bromides bearing not only electron-withdrawing but also electron-donating substituents, affording the difluoromethylated arenes in good to excellent yields. To demonstrate the efficiency and versatility of a palladium catalytic system which can be easily optimized depending on substrates, development of the palladium-catalyzed difluoromethylations with various (hetero)aryl bromides, triflates, and chlorides with (difluoromethyl)zinc reagents is now underway in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b01734.

Experimental procedures and compound characterization data (PDF)

X-ray crystallographic data for 4b (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: mikami.k.ab@m.titech.ac.jp.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by JST (ACT-C: Advanced Catalytic Transformation program for Carbon utilization) and JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. 26620078. We thank Dr. Kenji Yoza (Bruker AXS) for valuable help with the X-ray crystalstructure analysis. We are also grateful to Prof. John F. Hartwig (University of California Berkeley) for his useful discussions.

11b: 39%b,d

Organic Letters Letter

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Hu, J.; Wang, F. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7465. (b) Hu, J. J. Fluorine Chem. 2009, 130, 1130. (c) Liu, Y.-L.; Yu, J.-S.; Zhou, J. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2, 194. (d) Ni, C.; Hu, J. Synthesis 2014, 46, 842. (e) Ni, C.; Hu, M.; Hu, J. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 765.

- (2) (a) Fier, P. S.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 5524. Qing has reported improvement of Hartwig's reaction conditions; see: (b) Jiang, X.-L.; Chen, Z.-H.; Xu, X.-H.; Qing, F.-L. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 774.
- (3) Prakash, G. K. S.; Ganesh, S. K.; Jones, J.-P.; Kulkarni, A.; Masood, K.; Swabeck, J. K.; Olah, G. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51. 12090.
- (4) For reviews, see: (a) Tomashenko, O. A.; Grushin, V. V. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 4475. (b) Amii, H. Yuki Gosei Kagaku Kyokaishi 2011, 69, 752. (c) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214.
- (5) For a review, see: Belhomme, M.-C.; Besset, T.; Poisson, T.; Pannecoucke, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 12836.
- (6) The palladium-catalyzed difluoromethylation of arylboronic acids with bromodifluoroacetate as a difluoromethyl source, see: Feng, Z.; Min, Q.-Q.; Zhang, X. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 44.
- (7) (a) Gu, Y.; Leng, X.-B.; Shen, Q. Nat. Commun. 2014, 5, 5405. (b) Chang, D.; Gu, Y.; Shen, Q. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 6074.
- (8) Xu, L.; Vicic, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 2536.
- (9) Preceding paper, see: Serizawa, H.; Ishii, K.; Aikawa, K.; Mikami, K. Org. Lett. 2016, DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b01733.
- (10) (a) Serizawa, H. Doctor Thesis, Tokyo Institute of Technology, Dec 21, 2015. (b) Mikami, K. Presented at Pacifichem 2015, Honolulu, HI, Dec 15–20, 2015.
- (11) Previous works on the preparation of bis(trifluoromethyl)zinc and bis(perfuoroalkyl)zinc: (a) Liu, E. K. S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1980**, *19*, 266. (b) Lange, H.; Naumann, D. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1984**, *26*, 435. (c) Schorn, C.; Naumann, D.; Scherer, H.; Hahn, J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **2001**, *107*, 159.
- (12) (a) Mikami, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Aikawa, K. Japanese Patent Appl. 2012-113898, May 18, 2012. (b) Mikami, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Negishi, K.; Aikawa, K. Japanese Patent Appl. 2013-180007, Aug 30, 2013. (c) Nakamura, Y.; Fujiu, M.; Murase, T.; Itoh, Y.; Serizawa, H.; Aikawa, K.; Mikami, K. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2013, 9, 2404. (d) Aikawa, K.; Nakamura, Y.; Yokota, Y.; Toya, W.; Mikami, K. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 96. (e) Aikawa, K.; Toya, W.; Nakamura, Y.; Mikami, K. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4996.
- (13) (a) Kaplan, P. T.; Xu, L.; Chen, B.; McGarry, K. R.; Yu, S.; Wang, H.; Vicic, D. A. Organometallics 2013, 32, 7552. (b) Kaplan, P. T.; Chen, B.; Vicic, D. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2014, 168, 158. (c) Kato, H.; Hirano, K.; Kurauchi, D.; Toriumi, N.; Uchiyama, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 3895. (d) Wang, X.; Hirano, K.; Kurauchi, D.; Kato, H.; Toriumi, N.; Takita, R.; Uchiyama, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 10993. (14) (a) Burton, D. J.; Hartgraves, G. A. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 1198. (b) Charette; Beaulieu, L.-P. B.; Schneider, J. K.; Charette, A. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 7819.
- (15) See the Supporting Information.
- (16) Dilman reported the X-ray analysis of (diglyme)Zn(CF₂TMS) Br, which is highly air-sensitive: Kosobokov, M. D.; Levin, V. V.; Zemtsov, A. A.; Struchkova, M. I.; Korlyukov, A. A.; Arkhipov, D. E.; Dilman, A. D. Org. Lett. **2014**, *16*, 1438.